

# Restore Safeguarding - End Sex Falsification

WDI UK

22 June 2024

Newcastle upon Tyne

## THE SIMPLE ACT OF NOT LYING

Emma Hardy

### Suing David Lloyds Leisure

I am in the process of suing 'family friendly' Healthclub David Lloyd, for misleading me into believing our 'female' signposted changing rooms were for females only and accessed according to biological sex. The process is stressful, time consuming and expensive, obviously a sane person would have just quit the gym, more of this later. Ultimately whatever the outcome, I have faith that some good will come of it.

In between home educating our two daughters, I've been keeping tabs on those who claim to be responsible for safeguarding in my home town of York:

### York and Scarborough NHS Trust - Deputy Chief Executive at York Hospital.

Since we met last November there has been significant progress with the Cass Report and leaked WPATH files. The Department of Education and the NHS are being forced back towards biological reality. This Pride month York Hospital are still displaying the 'Progress Pride Flag' - 'The Trust has not received a directive informing them not to celebrate Pride/LGBTQIA+' and 'the Trust celebrates the **diversity** of people'. But tellingly their social media posts have been noticeably quieter, with only one Twitter post this year.

**St John's Ambulance Cadets** ' - *Could my 12 year old daughter be tricked into thinking a man or boy is in fact a female?*

Head of Youth Delivery: 'We are a trans **inclusive** organisation, trans and gender non conforming people are treated with respect and addressed using their preferred names and pronouns in line with their **rights under the Equality Act**. We therefore can not provide assurances that your child will not come into contact with a trans person and be introduced to them with their chosen gender identity.'

**University of York** - *Please confirm if you provide single sex facilities?*

Equality and Diversity Office: 'We comply with **equality law** in this area and state on our webpages that the University supports the right of all staff to use facilities that align with their gender identity, such as changing areas and toilets.'

Last year I contacted my local **Home Education Group** in the hope they would want to warn other families about what is happening to our public single sex facilities and services:

'This Group is for cis, transgender and non binary people and is a space where everyone should feel welcomed and **included**. I think we both have very different opinions on this matter and don't think anything can be gained by debating this matter. Needless to say it is an area I am well researched in.'

Whilst we both respectfully agreed to disagree, I wonder if she has thought any more about the safeguarding implications of this position.

### **The Virtue Signalling Penis**

How could so many well intentioned and reasonably intelligent people, from politicians, medical professionals, police chiefs, school leaders and even our friends and family be losing their grip on reality?

One explanation is that we are being bombarded with an aggressive form of behavioural nudging, designed to alter our perceptions of what is acceptable behaviour. These techniques are explained in: 'Free Your Mind '- Laura Dodsworth and Patrick Fagan. Thanks to the heavy handed and often clunky tactics deployed during the Global Pandemic it is now easier to spot how behavioural nudging is used to manipulate us. The United Nations have a calendar full of 'World Days' designed to encourage and shape our thoughts, behaviours and shopping habits etc. For example, 'World Book Day' falls on the 6th March and is not solely about reading as the name might imply.

Primary school children are encouraged or 'nudged' by their teachers to mark the day by dressing up as their favourite story book/film character. Naturally parents don't want to look bad in the eyes of their children, the school or other parents, so they grab their smart phones and search for 'Book Day Costumes'. The sheer volume of online purchases all taking place at the same time generates huge amounts of cardboard and

packaging. Not to miss out on another nudging opportunity, 'World Recycling Day' is conveniently scheduled on 18th March. The whole operation is designed to leave parents feeling good about 'being seen' to support their child's education, whilst also 'doing their bit for the planet'. In reality you just gave your money to Amazon for some non biodegradable nylon tat. No judgement here I fell for it too.

This brings me onto the 'World Naked Bike Ride' - launched in 2004 - with many virtuous aims including: a global protest against climate change and highlighting the vulnerability of cyclists using the road. While some of their aims might sound plausible, we are simultaneously being led to accept that removing protective layers of clothing is now a legitimate response to feeling physically vulnerable. The name itself uses 'The Sandwich Technique' - wrapping negative information between two positives in an attempt to make it more palatable. 'The rule of 3' also helps to keep the slogan catchy, easy to remember and provides a sense of cohesion:

1. World - an authority providing social proof of an internationally accepted view.
2. Naked - a euphemism for deliberately exposing genitals in public.
3. Bike Ride - wholesome, healthy and promoting 'clean' energy.

In 2 decades participation hasn't really caught on in York, only 50 'protestors' are expected next weekend and publicity of the event is almost non-existent. For anyone still curious as to how this is legal, a Google search brings up 'Cycling Weekly': 'It is not an offence to be naked in public...only if it can be proved the person stripped off with the intention to upset and shock'. This nudge works on two levels. The most obvious is to downplay indecent exposure as just 'stripping off and reassure you this is not a criminal 'offence'. The second nudge is more insidious. Our token effort of 'research' now acts as our reward, once satisfied this is not only legal but has been going on for years, we now feel justified in turning our attention elsewhere.

So what if this is precisely the point? To very gently nudge normal, law abiding people into accepting previously unacceptable public behaviour. Obviously it doesn't stand up to scrutiny but we tell ourselves: "it is only one day" or, "it's for a good cause" and finally, "we can just stay away." In reality when faced with potentially humiliating and harmful behaviour our 'choices' are limited. Just ask the women who have stopped going swimming because men can now 'identify' into their 'female' spaces.

In 2023, photos taken by the York Press clearly show the naked aspect appeals to men rather than women. Combined with the normalisation of wearing masks in public, we now see dozens of masked, naked men, riding shoulder to shoulder with uniformed

police officers. A few short years ago a rational person would have questioned the motives of a man wearing a mask with his penis exposed.

*The legislation which makes a naked protest lawful is Section 66 of the Sexual Offences Act 2003: Indecent exposure is a crime of 'specific intent' -*

*'To intentionally expose your genitals and prove that he **intended** that someone will see them and be caused alarm or distress.'*

The Angiolini Inquiry is tasked with investigating how dismissing indecent exposure as 'flashing' is very likely to have been a contributing factor to the murder of Sarah Everard: "We belittle and thereby create a perception that the crime is almost something laughable while the reality for so many of its victims is profoundly terrifying, and the act itself could be a indicative of a very sinister trajectory."

North Yorkshire Police explained: "**Simple nudity** in a public place is not a criminal offence." All that is required is to claim to have good intentions e.g. protesting for net zero, or a poor marginalized trans women just wanting to use the female changing room. Had we seen a penis in David Lloyds female changing room how could we prove its owners intentions were nefarious? We live in a time where we are asked to consider old fashion names offensive, such as 'Dick' and 'Fanny' found in Enid Blyton stories - yet being faced with actual exposed genitals is ok.

### **'Wank Fantasy' for Kids...in School?**

As we all know there has been a concerted effort to confuse and sexualise our children through 'education'. Teachers, parents and children are being conditioned to accept obscenity as the socially acceptable 'new normal'. This would explain how the Academy CEO, Head Teacher, Safeguarding Leads, School Governors (including a Lay Cannon at York Minster) were all able to pretend that a school library book: 'Loveless' by Alice Oseman - (of Netflix fame with the series 'Heartstopper') - containing explicit sexualised themes including a chapter titled: 'Wank Fantasy' is considered part of a suitable education. This genre of books, one of 45 according to a FOI, fall under the umbrella of LGBTQIA+ inclusion. The nudge or 'grooming' in this particular book is very simple: If girls are not willing to participate in drunken, casual sex with strangers they might actually be 'Asexual'. The book even contains links to online Asexuality forums for children to chat with strangers about their sexuality.

Explore public libraries in York were operating a policy which allows children from 11 to obtain their own library card giving them unfettered access to the entire range of books, including pornography, sexual abuse, incest, rape, torture - you name it. The good news is that the ideology leaves behind an unmistakable linguistic mark. It falls

to those of us who understand the true meaning of 'inclusion' and 'diversity' (EDI policies) to warn the rest. Both the Academy Trust and Explore libraries are now reviewing their safeguarding processes.

In a recent BBC interview I discussed how inappropriate material and gender ideology was being taught in my daughter's school(s). Ironically we don't allow BBC propaganda in our own home but I agreed to the interview in the hope that I would reach as many parents as possible. The Trust was contacted for a right of reply, what came next took us all by surprise. They had instructed solicitors to issue letters threatening a 'Defamation' suit should the interview be aired. In addition to this, they also insisted that I sign terms preventing me from naming them and prohibiting me from speaking out about them in the future. The BBC explained that this is known as a 'SLAPP suit'- 'Aggressively litigious strategic lawsuits brought to intimidate anyone who would criticise or expose corruption'. The tactic worked, I and I temporarily withdrew my consent. Having received legal advice from the BBC and the Free Speech Union the interview has since been edited - making it extremely difficult for them to bring a claim of 'Defamation' - but if they do, I now have the support of the Free Speech Union.

I can't offer any practical advice for repealing the GRA, my only advice is to encourage each and everyone of you to keep on pushing back in creative and surprising ways. For my sins, next weekend I will personally witness the York Naked Bike Ride. I will be there to collect evidence of 'protestors' committing indecent exposure and to record the Police response. Both have been forewarned of the likelihood that I will experience 'harm' and 'distress' and should they fail to act upon new information this behaviour may now be judged to have reached the legal threshold of '**intent**'.

I hope some of this information might help you understand - and perhaps forgive those who 'should have known better' and try not to lose faith in those who simply didn't know - but tell them.

Another powerful book that has made a lasting impression on me is: 'The New Puritans' by Andrew Doyle, in which he describes warnings from history, this line is taken from: 'The Gulag Archipelago' (1973) Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn:

**'The simple act of an ordinary brave man is not to participate in lies.'**